



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,951	01/31/2001	Shvat Shaked	P-2977-US	1478
6980	7590	08/23/2007		
TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP 600 PEACHTREE STREET, NE ATLANTA, GA 30308			EXAMINER HAVAN, THU THAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/772,951

Applicant(s)

SHAKED ET AL.

Examiner

Thu Thao Havan

Art Unit

3691

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Detailed Action

Drawings

The Examiner accepts the drawings filed on January 31, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims **21-34** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eastep (US 6,731,625).

Re claims **21, 25, 26, 29, and 32**, Anderson teaches a method of controlling access of a user to a service (abstract), the method comprising:

obtaining a first, transient identifier associated with a communication session of said user over an anonymous network (fig. 3);

obtaining a second identifier associated with persistent real-world information of said user from a network access provider (NAP) through which said user is connected

to said service, said second identifier associated with said first identifier at said NAP
(col. 22, lines 45-68); and

controlling access based on said second identifier (col. 2, lines. 1-38).

Re claim **22**, Eastep teaches service is selected from the group consisting of e-mail service, electronic banking, financial service, and firewall (col. 72, lines 1-25).

Re claim **23**, Eastep teaches network is selected from the group consisting of an Internet network, a wireless data network, a cellular data network, and a CATV-based data network (col. 60, lines 1-60).

Re claim **24**, Eastep teaches evaluating the reliability of said second identifier based on predetermined criteria; and determining the level of access to provide to said user based on said reliability (col. 83-84).

Re claim **27**, Eastep teaches obtaining comprises receiving said account details from a network access provider servicing said user (figs. 19-21).

Re claims **28** and **33-34**, Eastep teaches account details pertain to at least one user below said predetermined age and further comprising: obtaining information associated with said account generally known only to adult users of said account; requesting said user to enter an at least generally significant part of said information; and reporting said user as an adult if said at least generally significant part matches the corresponding part of said information. 29. (previously presented): A method for handling telephone calls during a dial-up Internet connection, the method comprising: obtaining an identifier of a telephone line connecting a user to a data network from a network side of a connection between said user and said data network; associating said

Art Unit: 3691

identifier with information for contacting said user over said network; and configuring incoming telephone calls to said user according to said information (col. 101, lines 1-38).

Re claim **30**, Eastep teaches upon receiving said incoming call, sending notification of said incoming call to said user (figs. 12-15).

Re claim **31**, Eastep teaches forwarding said incoming call to said user over said network; forwarding said incoming call to said user over a telephone network; sending to said user information about the origin of said incoming call; and receiving from said user at least one order about how to handle said incoming call (figs.23-24.).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached during her flextime schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 3691

system, see <http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/>. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-

free).


Thu Thao Havan
Art Unit: 3691
8/20/2007